

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/582,922	06/13/2006	Athanasios Athanasiou	2003P01911WOUS	9213		
46726 BSH HOME A	7590 07/21/200 APPLIANCES CORPO	EXAM	EXAMINER			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			NGHIEM,	NGHIEM, MICHAEL P		
100 BOSCH B NEW BERN, 1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			2863			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/21/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/582,922	ATHANASIOU, ATHANASIOS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL P. NGHIEM	2863	

-			1					
	MICHAEL P. NGHIEM	2863						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	The reply was filled after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	a avtancian faa					
Learns of the ine lay be doubled under 3 Cure 150(e), I used to have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(s) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externous of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I 			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		to the second second term of						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>10-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
0. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.								
/Michael P. Nghiem/	7-19-09							
Primary Examiner GALL2863	. 10 00							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Ishio et al. (US 6,553,774) discloses that the condition-indicative quantities with respect to the refrigerator is stored in a memory (Abstract, lines 4-7). The diagnostic device compares the condition-indicative quantities (stored in memory) with a predetermined threshold value (Abstract, lines 8-12). Thus, inherently, the comparing step would require the condition-indicative quantities to be read out from memory before comparing them with the predetermined threshold value. Examiner maintains that there are motivations to combine Ishio et al., with Sevem (GB 2 152 673), Finnegan et al. (US 4.482,786), and Yoshida et al. (US 6.438,973) as discussed in the previous Final Office action.

Continuation of 13. Other: The Figure shows the memory (9) being connected to the diagnostic electronic system (7), the diagnostic electronic system (7) is connected to the sensor (8). However, the memory (9) is not connected to the sensor (8).